

Writing Rubric: CSP II (Copyright + Culture)

	“A” Paper	“B” Paper	“C” Paper	“D” Paper	“F” Paper
Critical Analysis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Excels in responding to assignment, and demonstrates mastery of course concepts and materials • Thesis presents a clear, focused, and compelling argument • Paper recognizes the complexities of its argument throughout the analysis 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Responds appropriately to the assignment, demonstrates clear understanding of course concepts and materials • Good argument, clearly articulated in thesis, though might need refining • Begins to acknowledge the complexities of its argument 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Doesn't fully respond to the assignment, demonstrates some misunderstanding of course concepts and materials • Paper has a weak argument, thesis is too general • Fails to acknowledge other views 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Doesn't respond appropriately to the assignment, disconnected from course concepts and materials • Argument is unclear, thesis is weak • Thesis too vague or general to be nuanced or complicated 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does not respond to the assignment, displays no familiarity with course concepts or materials • No identifiable argument or thesis
Evidence & Support	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Argument is thoroughly supported by strong, specific, and appropriate evidence • Evidence is clearly introduced, analyzed and connected to the argument 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Paper's argument is supported by relevant evidence, though not always the strongest or specific quotations • Analysis of evidence needs further development 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Paper's argument is supported by limited evidence that is only occasionally relevant • Connections between argument and evidence are somewhat unclear 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence is insufficient, misconstrued or misrepresented • Unclear connections between evidence and argument 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Argument is based on little to no evidence • Connections between evidence and argument are absent/incorrect
Structure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Paper flows logically to craft a cohesive argument • Paragraphs clearly guide the reader through a progression of ideas • Uses transitional sentences to develop strong relationships between ideas 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Generally well-constructed flow of ideas • Paragraphs are ordered thoughtfully, each paragraph relates to central argument • Transitional sentences create a logical progression of ideas 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Paper jumps from one idea to the next, lacking a clear structure • Occasional connection of ideas between paragraphs • Simple sequential rather than transitions based on logic 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Paper wanders from one idea to the next, making it difficult to distill the argument • Limited connection of ideas between paragraphs • Paragraphs may lack topic sentences or connection of ideas 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lacking organization and coherence • No connection of ideas between paragraphs • Disjointed connection of ideas between paragraphs
Style	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Displays a unique critical voice • Style fits the paper's audience • Chooses words carefully, for their precise meaning • Demonstrates thorough and thoughtful editing and revision 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Displays a clear critical voice • Style is conscious of paper's audience • Uses words effectively, if too generally at times • Demonstrates revision and editing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Displays a critical voice that is generic or bland • Style only occasionally displays awareness of paper's audience • Sentence structure and word choice frequently too unfocused, wordy or confusing • Minor revisions and editing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Critical voice is unclear • Style isn't appropriate for paper's audience • Simple, awkward, or monotonous sentence structure and word choices • Minimal revisions and editing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lacking critical voice • Unaware of paper's audience • Many awkward sentences and misused words • No evident revisions or editing
Mechanics & Citations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Almost entirely free of spelling, grammar, and punctuation errors • All sources are cited correctly and completely 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • May contain a few spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors, but they don't impede understanding • Sources cited correctly and completely 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Several spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors that distract the reader • Minor citation errors 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contains many spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors • Incomplete citations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pervasive spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors • Missing citations